

Libby Woodhatch

Executive Chairman, Marin Trust

Marin Trust – What it Can that Others Cannot

MarinTrust - The Certification Programme for Marine Ingredients



EFFOP, 2 June 2022





INDEPENDENT STANDARDS VALUE CHAIN I



TRACEABILITY TO SOURCE; RESPONSIBLY SOURCED & PRODUCED

Brief history of the programme





- To protect marine ingredient producers against being accused of bad practices
- To champion best practices of marine ingredient production
- To prove to stakeholders (value chain, NGOs, journalists) that if you are MarinTrust (IFFO RS) certified you are NOT the same as those accused of bad practice



Complementing one another to provide end-to-end assurance





Three key pillars for Responsible Supply (it's not *all* about the fisheries and IUU)





Responsible production

Responsible Traceability

Recognition of certified material



Value Chain Link	MSC	MarinTrust
Fishery	Main standard	Main standard
MI Producer	MSC CoC	Main standard
Further processing	MSC CoC	MarinTrust CoC
Feed Producer	Feed Standard: ASC Global GAP BAP	Feed Standard ASC Global GAP BAP

Fishery Improvement Projects (FIPs) recognition



Recognition by other Standards

Best Aquaculture Practices (BAP):

• 50% (towards 75% by 2025) of marine ingredients shall come from **MSC/MarinTrust** or MarinTrust Improver Programme (IP), SFP, WWF and Fishery Progress. Ref, Feed Mill standard Issue 3.0, page 20

Global GAP:

• 60% of marine ingredients shall come from MSC/MarinTrust and/or MarinTrust IP, SFP or GLOBALG.A.P. **WWF**

Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC):

- Levels 1 to 3 (IP, MarinTrust)
- Due Diligence Process
- Legal equivalent
- Social cover some clauses, other to consider for V3
- Environmental cover some clauses, other to consider for V3
- Level 4?

Marine Stewardship Council

Current MoU with MSC being updated to increase mutual recognition & identify areas for collaborative working









Why a standard?



- Voluntary certification standards exist in all sectors of the economy
 - The MarinTrust Programme is **specific to marine ingredient producers** and further processing and was established by the marine ingredient sector via IFFO
- Market driven approach, complements regulatory frameworks to provide assurances to supply chains and ultimately the consumer
 - Demonstrates compliance
 - Verifies commitment to responsible sourcing, quality and safety
 - Reduces risk and increases credibility
 - Safeguards reputation
- Recognised in the sourcing policies of feed companies, aquaculture companies, petfood manufacturers, retailers etc
 - Easier route to due diligence, especially as underpinned by assurance mechanisms
- Mutual recognition with other standards
- MarinTrust standards drive continual improvement beyond certification for example the Improver Programme

Protecting the reputation of MI and the MI sector in Europe and beyond





In summary, why MarinTrust?



- It is a standard programme envisioned, conceived and established by the Marine Ingredient sector through IFFO
 - This legacy remains core to MarinTrust today through the governance structure and engagement with certificate holders
- It is the only standard programme that focuses on marine ingredients only and all aspects from supply, to manufacturing and traceability
- It is recognised by other relevant standards, including the ASC and MSC, filling a gap in the value chain, which means end-to-end assurance
 - But not everything is ASC, we satisfy other standards too
- It is embedded within the sourcing policies of feed producers, pet food producers and some retailers, with growing interest from the nutraceutical industry
- It is the only programme that can bring marine ingredients from recognised FIPs to feed producers, satisfying the demand of aquaculture standards and sourcing policies
- The standard programme can be used as a platform to inform the value chain and other stakeholders about best practice in the marine ingredient sector and enhance its reputation



Thank you.

Any questions?



UNIT C • PRINTWORKS • 22 AMELIA STREET • LONDON • SE17 3BZ • +44 2039 780 819

By-product assessment





MARKET PRESSURE FOR RAW MATERIAL DUE DILIGENCE IUU RISK ASSESSMENT WORK BY-PRODUCT WORKSHOPS



Results from workshop and pilots

Certificate holder comments;

Simplification through riskbased approach welcomed

Legality of the facilities supplying the by-products

Mixed by-products





By-product balancing act





The <u>fish</u> from which by-products are sourced <u>must be</u> <u>verified</u> by the marine ingredients producer





	FFO RS V1.6 MarinTrust V2.0		MarinTrust V3.0		
A	Management framework and procedure	NA	Not assessed	A	IUU risk assessment
В	Stock assessment procedure and management advice	C	C1.1 Fishery removals considered or negligible C1.2 Biomass above MSY or removals negligible	В	Management measures review
С	Stock status	D	PSA	С	IUCN Red List, PSA

A. IUU Risk Calculator



IUU risk score	Low risk score =1	Medium risk score =2	High risk score =3
4.2.3.1 What is the average overall <u>flag State</u> risk score, derived from the latest IUU Fishing Index?	<1.5	>1.49 and <2.2	>2.19
4.2.4.1 What is the average overall <u>port State risk</u> score derived from the latest IUU Fishing Index?	<1.6	>1.59 and <2.49	>2.5
4.2.5.2 What is the average overall country IUU risk score of all covered supply chain countries derived from the latest IUU Fishing Index?	<2	>1.99 and <2.49	>2.5
Score (circle as appropriate)	2-3-4-5-FAIL		



	Indicator			
1	Fishery governance and stock health – MSC cert or Fish Source profile			
2	Flag of fleet – whether flag of convenience (FOC)			
3	Coastal state is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs			
4	Party to the Port State Measures Agreement			
5	Carded' under the EU IUU Regulation			
6	Identified by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for IUU fishing			
7	Mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet			
8	Accepted FAO Compliance Agreement			
9	Ratification/accession of UNCLOS Convention			
10	Ratification of UN Fish Stocks Agreement			
11	Have a national plan of action to prevent, deter and eliminate IUU (NPOA-IUU) fishing			



Propose to replace stock specific reference point check with country level management measure review, based on questions including:

- Is there a TAC? Does the fishery often exceed these limits?
- Spatial/ temporal measures in place
- Traceability system (e.g. food safety)
- National action plan for Endangered Threatened & Protected Species (ETP)
- The precautionary approach is applied in the formulation of management plans.



• In May the GBC agreed to pilot the proposed risk-based approach to replace the V2 Stock specific approach, with the following assessment structure:

A. IUU risk assessment (based on the IUU Rusk Register plus one other)
B. Management review
C. IUCN Red List
D. PSA